Randomised trial of cranberry-lingonberry juice and Lactobacillus GG drink for the prevention of urinary tract infections in women

Objective: To determine whether recurrences of urinary tract infection can be prevented with cranberry-lingonberry juice or with Lactobacillus GG drink.

Design: Open, randomised controlled 12 month follow up trial.

Setting: Health centres for university students and staff of university hospital.

Participants: 150 women with urinary tract infection caused by Escherichia coli randomly allocated into three groups.

Interventions: 50 ml of cranberry-lingonberry juice concentrate daily for six months or 100 ml of lactobacillus drink five days a week for one year, or no intervention.

Main outcome measure: First recurrence of symptomatic urinary tract infection, defined as bacterial growth ≥10^5 colony forming units/ml in a clean voided midstream urine specimen.

Results: The cumulative rate of first recurrence of urinary tract infection during the 12 month follow up differed significantly between the groups (P=0.048). At six months, eight (16%) women in the cranberry group, 19 (39%) in the lactobacillus group, and 18 (36%) in the control group had had at least one recurrence. This is a 20% reduction in absolute risk in the cranberry group compared with the control group (95% confidence interval 3% to 36%, P=0.023, number needed to treat=5, 95% confidence interval 3 to 34).

Conclusion: Regular drinking of cranberry juice but not lactobacillus seems to reduce the recurrence of urinary tract infection.
What is already known on this topic
Up to 60% of women will have a urinary tract infection and a third of them will have several recurrences.
Vaccinium berries and products containing lactobacilli may affect the coliform bacteria that cause urinary tract infection.

What this study adds
50 ml of cranberry-lingonberry juice concentrate daily reduced recurrences of symptomatic urinary tract infection by about half compared with the control group. Lactobacillus GG drink had no effect on recurrence.
Self treatment with cranberry juice may reduce the need for antimicrobials for recurrent urinary tract infection.

Introduction
Up to 60% of women have a urinary tract infection at some point in their life. Sexual activity is the most important risk factor for urinary tract infection. At least a third of women with urinary tract infection will experience a recurrence during the following year, with recurrence being most common in the age groups 25–9 and over 55 years. Recurrences are a common indication for long term antimicrobial prophylaxis, but emerging antimicrobial resistance underlines the need for alternatives.

The bacteria causing urinary tract infection arise from the stools. Dietary changes can alter the balance of faecal bacteria. Vaccinium berries and products containing lactobacilli have been shown to act against the coliform bacteria that cause most urinary tract infections. Cranberry juice prevents bacteriuria in elderly women, and locally administered lactobacilli prevent recurrences of urinary tract infections. To evaluate whether these products given orally are effective in preventing symptomatic recurrences of urinary tract infection we conducted an open, randomised, controlled trial.

Participants and methods
Study population and design
We recruited women during 1993–7 from the Finnish student health service at the University of Oulu and the occupational health centre for the staff of Oulu University Hospital. Women who had a urinary tract infection caused by Escherichia coli (≥10⁵ colony forming units/ml in clean voided midstream urine) and were not taking antimicrobial prophylaxis were invited to participate. After giving informed consent, they were randomly allocated into three groups by using tables of random numbers and a block technique with a block size of six (fig 1).
The first group received 50 ml of cranberry-lingonberry juice concentrate (Maija, Marli, Finland) a day for six months, the second group received 100 ml of *Lactobacillus* GG drink (Gefilus, Valio, Finland) five days a week for one year, and the third group served as an open control group. The cranberry-lingonberry juice contained 7.5 g cranberry concentrate and 1.7 g lingonberry concentrate in 50 ml of water with no added sugars, and the lactobacillus drink contained 4x10^{10} cfu of *Lactobacillus* GG/100 ml. Participants were advised to prepare a drinkable juice by adding 200 ml of water but no sweeteners to the 50 ml of concentrate. Both drinks were commercially available at the time. The dosing frequencies and the duration of the prophylaxis were based on the availability of the products from our suppliers.

The first urinary tract infection (index) was treated with standard antimicrobials. Women had to provide a urine sample showing no bacterial growth three or more days after treatment before they were scheduled for follow up (fig 1). Each woman completed a questionnaire providing demographic data, medical history, and nutritional status at entry and a modified questionnaire at three month intervals. Women recorded use of the products on a self report sheet.

Whenever a participant had symptoms suggesting urinary tract infection (frequency, urgency, dysuria, haematuria, nocturia, fever, or back or flank pain), we obtained a clean voided midstream urine sample for culture. All the analyses were performed at the laboratory of clinical microbiology in Oulu University Hospital. The laboratory staff were unaware as to which of the treatment groups participants belonged. The samples were cultured immediately by standard procedures, and we used ≥10^5 cfu/ml as the criterion for infection. If the participant reported a urinary tract infection that was not confirmed in our laboratory, we telephoned the diagnosing health care facility to obtain information on any culture obtained. Only cultures with ≥10^5 cfu/ml were accepted and recorded as events. A urine sample with no bacterial growth was required between two episodes before they were regarded as separate events. Women who had three or more episodes in six months were offered antimicrobial prophylaxis.
We followed perianal and urethral colonisation with lactobacilli by taking swab samples at the start and at three and 12 months. The protocol was evaluated and approved by the ethics committee of the medical faculty of the University of Oulu.

**Sample size**

The study end point was the first recurrence of urinary tract infection. We calculated the sample size based on the assumption that at least 30% of women will experience a recurrence within a year. We considered a reduction in recurrences to 10% as clinically important. To detect such a reduction with a two tailed \( \alpha \) of 0.05 and a power of 80%, we needed 70 women in each group.

Recruitment had to be stopped prematurely because the cranberry juice supplier stopped producing the juice. A total of 150 women gave their informed consent and were randomly allocated into three groups, 50 in each. One subject in the lactobacillus group who was taking postcoital antimicrobials was excluded from the analysis.
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**Fig 2** Cumulative rate of first recurrence of urinary tract infection during 12 month follow up in women receiving cranberry juice for six months, *Lactobacillus* GG drink for 12 months, or no intervention. The difference between groups was significant (\( P=0.023 \) at 6 months, 0.048 at 12 months). Occurrence of urinary tract infection was significantly lower in cranberry group than in control group (\( P=0.014 \) at 6 months, 0.052 at 12 months)

**Statistical methods**

We used the Kaplan-Meier method to analyse the cumulative first recurrence and the Breslow test to assess the differences in occurrences at the end of the study. We calculated the incidence density by adding the total number of episodes of urinary tract infection and the time at risk in each group and then calculating the rate of episodes per person year at risk. Each woman contributed days at risk until she dropped out, became pregnant, started antimicrobial prophylaxis, or the follow up ended. The differences in incidence of urinary tract infection between the groups were tested assuming that the occurrence of infection follows Poisson's distribution. We used Cox regression analysis to control for the baseline risk factors for infection.

**Results**

The groups were similar in their baseline characteristics with regard to the risk of urinary tract
infection (table 1). The subjects had previously consumed the vaccinium berry or lactobacillus products only occasionally. Only 13 women dropped out of the study: four (8%) in the cranberry group, four (8%) in the lactobacillus group, and five (10%) in the control group, usually because of moving away. There were no major changes in nutritional status during the follow up apart from the interventions.

During the six months, eight (16%) women in the cranberry group, 19 (39%) in the lactobacillus group, and 18 (36%) in the control group had at least one episode of urinary tract infection. This is a 20% reduction in absolute risk in the cranberry group compared with the control group (95% confidence interval 3% to 36%, P=0.023, number needed to treat=5, 95% confidence interval 3 to 34). The numbers who had had a recurrence at 12 months were 12, 21, and 19 in the cranberry, lactobacillus, and control groups respectively. The cumulative first recurrence of urinary tract infection differed significantly between the groups throughout the trial (P=0.048 at 12 months; fig 2). Recurrence during the study period was significantly lower in the cranberry group than in the control group (P=0.014 at 6 months, P=0.052 at 12 months).
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TABLE 1

Baseline characteristics of the subjects in the cranberry-lingonberry juice, Lactobacillus GG drink, and control groups. Values are numbers (percentages) of participants unless stated otherwise with percentages calculated from the number of women giving information on the question concerned

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Cranberry (n=50)</th>
<th>Lactobacillus (n=49)</th>
<th>Control (n=50)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) age</td>
<td>32 (9.8)</td>
<td>30 (11.8)</td>
<td>29 (10.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aged &lt;30</td>
<td>30 (60)</td>
<td>36 (73)</td>
<td>36 (72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aged 30-55</td>
<td>18 (36)</td>
<td>11 (22)</td>
<td>13 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aged &gt;55</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercourse frequency before intervention:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than once a week</td>
<td>16 (33)</td>
<td>25 (51)</td>
<td>13 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2 times/week</td>
<td>17 (35)</td>
<td>11 (22)</td>
<td>18 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;3 times/week</td>
<td>16 (33)</td>
<td>13 (27)</td>
<td>17 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercourse frequency during follow up:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than once a week</td>
<td>10 (23)</td>
<td>9 (19)</td>
<td>7 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2 times/week</td>
<td>19 (43)</td>
<td>23 (49)</td>
<td>20 (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;3 times/week</td>
<td>15 (34)</td>
<td>15 (32)</td>
<td>20 (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used birth control during follow up*</td>
<td>39 (81)</td>
<td>39 (81)</td>
<td>38 (83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy during follow up</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean No of antibiotic courses in past year</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimicrobials for urinary tract infection in past year</td>
<td>44 (90)</td>
<td>41 (85)</td>
<td>41 (85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous urinary tract morbidity:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (range) No of infections</td>
<td>6 (1–30)</td>
<td>6 (1–30)</td>
<td>6 (1–20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary tract anomalies‡</td>
<td>3 (7)</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimicrobial prophylaxis for urinary tract infection:</td>
<td>Before intervention</td>
<td>10 (21)</td>
<td>8 (17)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We also did an analysis based on the assumption that women who dropped out of the intervention groups subsequently had a urinary tract infection whereas those who left the control group did not, but the differences in the occurrence of the first urinary tract infection remained significant (P=0.046 at 12 months). After we standardised for age, history of urinary tract infection, antimicrobial use, intercourse frequency, and fluid intake at the start of the study, the only explaining variable for the prevention of recurrences was cranberry juice (P=0.019, Cox regression analysis).

There were 98 episodes of urinary tract infection altogether during follow up, of which 21 (21%) occurred in the cranberry group, 39 (40%) in the lactobacillus group, and 38 (39%) in the control group. The difference of 0.36 in incidence densities between the cranberry and control group was significant (table 2). One woman in the cranberry group had to start antimicrobial prophylaxis, compared with five and three in the lactobacillus and control groups, respectively.
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### TABLE 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Urinary tract infection</th>
<th>Cranberry (n=50)</th>
<th>Lactobacillus (n=49)</th>
<th>Control (n=50)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incidence density</td>
<td>0.45*</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (%) caused by <em>E coli</em></td>
<td>15 (71)</td>
<td>31 (79)</td>
<td>32 (84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (%) caused by other bacteria</td>
<td>6 (29)</td>
<td>8 (21)</td>
<td>6 (16)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Difference between the cranberry and control groups (0.36 episodes/person year) was significant (95% confidence interval 0.03 to 0.68, P=0.03).
† Differences between the intervention groups and the control group were not significant (P=0.24-0.59).

The causative bacterium was *E coli* in 80% of the episodes (table 2). The proportion of episodes caused by *E coli* did not differ between the study groups. The number of women with perianal or urethral cultures positive for lactobacilli at any time during follow up was similar in all three groups; there were 32 (71%) in the cranberry group, 33 (67%) in the lactobacillus group, and 37 (82%) in the control group.

Fifty three women (54%) returned information about consumption of the products. They had taken 91% of the prescribed cranberry doses and 88% of lactobacillus doses. There were no differences in the main results of the stratified analysis between those giving this information or not (data not shown). No adverse events were reported except occasional complaints about the bitter taste of the cranberry juice.
Discussion

Our study confirms the common belief that symptomatic recurrences of urinary tract infection can be prevented with cranberry juice. In a recent review, the previous evidence for this was found inconclusive, although earlier reports had suggested a beneficial effect. The daily consumption of 300 ml of cranberry juice reduced bacteriuria in postmenopausal women, but no beneficial effect was found among children with neurogenic bladder. Our study population represented a typical group of women at risk of recurrences of urinary tract infection. Such women are also the most willing and able to take preventive measures. We thus believe that our result is of both medical and practical importance.

In the United States, over 11 million women each year receive antimicrobials for urinary tract infection, costing over $1.6bn (£1100m). Antimicrobial treatment and prophylaxis has resulted in increasing resistance to antimicrobials among uropathogenic bacteria. Cranberry juice provides an alternative tool for prevention of urinary tract infection that could result in decreased use of antimicrobials.

Action of cranberry juice

The berries of *Vaccinium* species such as cranberries and blueberries contain condensed tannins called proanthocyanidins. These can prevent the expression of the P fimbriae of *E coli*. Proanthocyanidins are stable phenolic compounds that are widely distributed in nature, and some of them possess antiviral, antibacterial, antiadhesive, or antioxidant properties. By inhibiting bacterial cell wall synthesis and cellular expression of adhesion molecules they inhibit bacterial adhesion to cellular surfaces. Tannin profiles differ between berries, and proanthocyanidin molecules of cranberry extracts consist mainly of epicatechin. The commercial product used here consisted mostly of cranberries (*V. oxycoccos*) but also lingonberries (*V. vitis-idaea*), which to our knowledge have not been analysed for proanthocyanidin concentrations.

*E coli* is the most common uropathogenic bacterium, and its P fimbriae are thought to be the most important virulence factor in causing urinary tract infection. The blocking of fimbrial adhesion by cranberry juice prevents *E coli* and other gram negative bacteria from colonising the uroepithelial cells. The juice may help to prevent urinary tract infection either by selecting less adhesive bacterial strains in the stool or by directly preventing *E coli* from adhering to uroepithelial cells, or by both of these mechanisms. Our finding of no increase in recurrences after stopping cranberry prophylaxis supports the theory of bacterial selection in the stool.

Lactobacillus

The lactobacillus drink had no effect on urinary tract infection, possibly because we were unable to induce lactobacilli colonisation of the periurethral area. Lactobacilli have been shown to colonise the human intestine at doses of $10^8-10^9$ cfu/day and to replace other bacterial species, especially coliform bacteria. Consumption five times a week may have been too infrequent. Intravaginal administration of lactobacilli has been found to reduce the number of coliform bacteria in the periurethral area and the number of urinary tract infections after antimicrobial treatment. In postmenopausal women, vaginal lactobacilli are replaced by enterobacteriaceae, increasing the risk of bacteriuria. This process can be reversed by intravaginal administration of oestriol.

Validity

We had to stop our trial prematurely because the manufacturer of the cranberry juice stopped producing it. However, the difference in the occurrence of urinary tract infection between the cranberry and control group was clear and constant even in this limited sample size. The compliance follow up sheet was returned by only half of the subjects, but the reports suggested that
the compliance was good and the stratified analysis showed no differences between the subjects who did and did not return the follow up sheet.

In conclusion, the daily consumption of 50 ml of cranberry-lingonberry concentrate prevented recurrences of symptomatic urinary tract infection in women, whereas the lactobacillus drink was ineffective. Cranberry juice reduced recurrences by about half. Since it is a natural food product and readily available, it seems a useful means for self-administered prevention of urinary tract infection.
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