



BIBLIOTECA ELECTRÓNICA
de
GEMINIS PAPELES DE SALUD

<http://www.herbogeminis.com>



MMR causes autism, proven in Italian court case

Friday, June 22, 2012 by: D Holt

(NaturalNews) For many years the stories from devastated parents have been eerily similar. A young, bright child vaccinated with MMR, has minor side effects such as high temperature and being off food, and then develops the debilitating disability of autism.

Whilst the mainstream medical profession deny the link between autism and the triple shot of measles, mumps and rubella, there have been many who have argued to the contrary. Statistics show the increases in autism correlate alarmingly with the introduction and uptake of the MMR vaccine. And Now in an Italian court, there has been a landmark ruling for a child whose parents claim that his autism was triggered by MMR.

The parents of Valentino Bocca have been awarded 112,000 Euro to be paid by the Italian ministry of health. They are now seeking a further 800,000 Euro in a civil case. The story is a tragic one, Valentino, developing normally, was given the shot at 14 months and started to suffer from diarrhea, lost interest in food and within a few days lost the ability to use his spoon. Worse was soon to come when he began to be restless at night, screaming in pain for hours. It was later found that he was suffering from a painful bowel condition that is common in autistic children. With an adjusted diet of no wheat or milk, he was able to sleep but the autism symptoms continued, and even at the age of nine, he still does not speak.

The case featured three expert witnesses that concurred that "barring preexisting conditions there was a reasonable scientific probability that the [MMR](#) jab had triggered Valantino's condition." Judge Lucio Ardigo agreed that it was "conclusively established" that Valentino had suffered from an "autistic disorder associated with medium cognitive delay" and his illness, was linked to receiving the shot. The Italian shot has the same ingredients as the one used in the UK and US.

Proof in court of the link between MMR and [autism](#), but will this be denied by the UK and US?

In the US over 5000 families are known to the mainstream media as believing that the MMR shot has triggered autism in their children, and the real figure could be much higher. Court rulings against the MMR include a 90,000 GBP (Great British Pound) payout for brain damage to a boy called Robert Fletcher, and a \$1.5 million payout for Hannah Poling who received MMR and six other vaccinations in one day and then developed autism.

It is small comfort to the families of children who have suffered autism as a result of these vaccines, that there may be a flicker of light at the end of the tunnel. However, the common belief amongst the established medical profession is that it is better to vaccinate a [child](#) than not. The forceful way in which the government in both the US and Europe have pushed for vaccination with MMR, has left many parents believing they have no choice, despite the shot being voluntary. In the case of Valentino Bocca this pro-vaccine propaganda was detrimental to the government's case.

With this ruling, there is now a legal precedent in Italy that could cause an opening in the floodgates. Many parents will be looking to obtain their rightful compensation against the governments that have conspired in one of the greatest medical cover-ups of all time. A cover-up that has cost careers, the suffering of children and the lives of their parents.

Sources for this article include

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk>

<http://birdflu666.wordpress.com>

<http://www.google.co.uk>

<http://childhealthsafety.wordpress.com>

About the author:

D Holt has written over 200 articles in the field of alternative health and is currently involved in research in the UK into the mechanisms involved in healing due to meditation, hypnosis and spiritual healers and techniques. Previous work has included investigations into effects of meditation on addiction, the effects of sulfites on the digestive system and the use of tartrazine and other additives in the restaurant industry. new blog is now available at <http://tinyurl.com/sacredmeditation> or follow on twitter @sacredmeditate

Articles Related to This Article:

- [The great thimerosal cover-up: Mercury, vaccines, autism and your child's health](#)
- [Government vaccine compensation payouts prove autism link](#)
- [Vaccines cause autism: Supporting evidence](#)
- [Soaring Autism Rates Linked to Environmental Causes](#)
- [Researching genetics as a cause of autism - An expensive attempt to marginalize vaccine causation](#)
- [78 percent increase in childhood autism rates over past decade coincides with sharp uptick in vaccination schedules](#)



MMR vaccine kills another baby in Belgium

Thursday, June 14, 2012 by: Ethan A. Huff, staff writer

(NaturalNews) The combination measles, mumps, and rubella (MMR) vaccine, which both the U.S. government and health authorities insist is completely safe for young children, has killed yet another child in the European nation of Belgium. Christina England over at *VacTruth.com* reports that Xandro Sanspuer, an 18-month-old boy from Renaix, Belgium, died recently after receiving the MMR vaccine from *Kind & Gezin (K&G) Child and Family Health Center*.

Young Xandro was still recovering from respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), a condition that causes respiratory tract infections, when a doctor at K&G advised Xandro's parents to have him vaccinated with MMR. Concerned about his son's health, Xandro's father Cedric explained to the doctor that Xandro was still gravely ill but recovering from the RSV infection, and requested that he first be thoroughly examined before the MMR vaccine even be considered.

The doctor reportedly complied with Cedric's request, but insisted upon examination that because Xandro's chest appeared to be clear, it was safe to administer the MMR vaccine. Not long after receiving the vaccine, however, young Xandro reportedly suffered cardiac arrest, which resulted in his rapid death. And based on the timing of events, Xandro's parents say the cause of death was most definitely the MMR vaccine.

Officials from K&G quickly denied that the MMR vaccine was responsible, but said they would conduct a thorough investigation. In the meantime, the group is callously urging parents not to "worry unnecessarily about vaccinations," according to reports. And Belgian authorities are also now reiterating their denial of a link between [MMR](#) and Xandro's death, insisting that the child died of "natural causes."

Naturally, Xandro's parents are not buying any of these politically correct excuses. They knew Xandro better than anyone else, and it was quite obvious to them that the [MMR vaccine](#) tipped the boy over the edge. The vaccine basically kicked little Xandro while he was down, so to speak, which makes any attempt to write off his death as unrelated to MMR just another blatant example of the incredible arrogance and irrationality that are hallmarks of mainstream medicine.

Vaccines killed another Belgian child late last year

A similar incident occurred late last year when Stacy Sirjacobs, a nine-week-old Belgian [baby](#), died just one week after receiving a barrage of nine different vaccines on the same day. According to reports, young Stacy had a slight cold on the day she was vaccinated, but doctors insisted that she

was well enough to receive the vaccines.

A week later, Stacy developed a staggering fever of nearly 104 degrees Fahrenheit, upon which her parents gave her Percodan, a mild analgesic drug. Stacy's parents then called the hospital, and upon being admitted, Stacy was diagnosed with having an infection in her chest and blood that doctors insisted was "not serious," and not related to the vaccines. Stacy was then put on a drip feed and was given other medications.

Even though she had been vaccinated against gastroenteritis, young Stacy soon developed this illness as well as an irregular heartbeat, which doctors still insisted was not a big deal. Stacy continued to suffer terrible diarrhea throughout the night, and developed red spots on her body and extreme breathing difficulties. The hospital did nothing about any of this, and by 3:00 am, Stacy was pronounced dead (<http://vactruth.com/2012/01/19/baby-dies-after-first-shots/>).

Both of these cases illustrate plainly and obviously that vaccines are not nearly as safe as we are all being told. Parents must thus take extreme caution when allowing their children to be vaccinated, and do plenty of their own independent research before agreeing to go through with them.

Sources for this article include:

<http://vactruth.com/2012/06/08/vaccines-killed-toddler/>

<http://het.sagepub.com/content/early/2011/05/04/0960327111407644>

Articles Related to This Article:

- [Facebook crowdsourced investigation exposes vaccine denials of SIGA Technologies](#)
- [Evidence-based vaccinations: A scientific look at the missing science behind flu season vaccines](#)
- [The Health Ranger interviews neurosurgeon Dr. Russell Blaylock on mandatory vaccine trials, fraudulent vaccine science and vaccine ethics](#)
- [Institute of Medicine adverse reactions report admits MMR vaccines cause measles, seizures, anaphylaxis and other health problems](#)
- [Flu vaccines revealed as the greatest quackery ever pushed in the history of medicine](#)
- [NaturalNews exposes secret vaccine industry ties and military involvement with Institute of Medicine, reveals fatal conflicts of interest at IoM](#)



UK Children Brainwashed into MMR Vaccine Support Through Manipulation of Academic Exams

Friday, September 25, 2009 by: David Gutierrez, staff writer

(NaturalNews) Controversy has erupted over the revelation that a standardized test given to U.K. high-schoolers in 2008 contained a question painting a study raising concerns over the safety of the measles mumps and rubella (MMR) vaccine.

The General Certificate of Secondary Education (GCSE) test is the most widely taken academic qualification exam among 14- to 16-year-olds in England, Wales and Northern Ireland. The test questions are designed by the United Kingdom's Assessment and Qualifications Alliance (AQA).

Question 5 on the Science portion of the January 2008 test concerned the 1998 study that first raised concerns between the MMR vaccine and autism. In that study, published in the prestigious journal *The Lancet*, Andrew Wakefield and colleagues examined 12 autistic children after their parents raised concern that their conditions might have been caused by the MMR shot. Although the study did not find any causal relationship between the vaccine and autism, the authors concluded there was enough concern to recommend that parents instead give their children individual vaccines for each of the three diseases, spaced a year apart each.

The *Lancet* paper caused a huge upswing in public concern about vaccines, particularly the MMR shot, and rates of vaccination dropped significantly.

The GCSE test question was split into two parts. In the first part, students were asked to explain how the MMR vaccine functions to protect children from the three diseases. The second part briefly described Wakefield's study, concluding by saying, "Dr Wakefield's research was being funded through solicitors for the twelve children. The lawyers wanted evidence to use against [vaccine](#) manufacturers."

The students were then asked, "(i) Was Dr Wakefield's report based on reliable scientific evidence? ... (ii) Might Dr Wakefield's report have been biased?"

Students were given points for part (i) only if they criticized the study for having a small sample size and for relying on parents' anecdotal reports as evidence. They were given points for part (ii) only if they agreed that Wakefield might have been biased by being paid by parents/lawyers.

Wakefield accused the test writers of making false claims about him and his research.

"The thought police appear to be saying, "To pass this exam you have to adopt this particular point of view," he said. "We didn't make any claims that [MMR](#) was the cause of anything. The exam question completely misrepresents what we said. The *Lancet* study received no funding whatsoever."

Vaccine critics, meanwhile, accused the test of attempting to bias [children](#) toward one side of a controversial issue.

"This is an insidious way of shaping young people's opinions," said Jackie Fletcher of Jabs.

Although the students were only being tested on their analysis of the scientific merit of the study, the question volunteered the following information: "Some newspapers used parts of the report in scare stories about the [MMR vaccine](#). As a result, many parents refused to have their children vaccinated."

After the controversy emerged, the AQA issued an apology for any "misunderstanding" regarding the question, and removed the January 2008 Science test from its Web site.

Wakefield has been a controversial figure ever since the publication the 1998 *Lancet* study. In 2004, *Lancet* editor Richard Horton made the first conflict-of-interest allegations against Wakefield, which was followed up by accusations in the *Sunday Times* that he had breached ethical rules. These accusations led Minister of Parliament Evan Harris and Health Secretary John Reid to call for Wakefield to be investigated by the General Medical Council (GMC) as "a matter of urgency."

But Horton reports in his book *MMR Science & Fiction: Exploring the Vaccine Crisis* that the GMC "had not a clue where to begin," and that one medical regulator questioned him on possible ways to proceed.

"He seemed keen to pursue Wakefield," Horton writes, "especially given ministerial interest."

In a letter published on its Web site, Jabs notes that the investigation into Wakefield appears to be more of a fishing expedition than a concern over an actual breach of medical ethics.

Sources for this story include: www.dailymail.co.uk; justatheory.co.uk; jabs.org.uk.

Articles Related to This Article:

- [Facebook crowdsourced investigation exposes vaccine denials of SIGA Technologies](#)
- [Evidence-based vaccinations: A scientific look at the missing science behind flu season vaccines](#)
- [The Health Ranger interviews neurosurgeon Dr. Russell Blaylock on mandatory vaccine trials, fraudulent vaccine science and vaccine ethics](#)
- [Institute of Medicine adverse reactions report admits MMR vaccines cause measles, seizures, anaphylaxis and other health problems](#)
- [Flu vaccines revealed as the greatest quackery ever pushed in the history of medicine](#)
- [NaturalNews exposes secret vaccine industry ties and military involvement with Institute of Medicine, reveals fatal conflicts of interest at IoM](#)



Institute of Medicine adverse reactions report admits MMR vaccines cause measles, seizures, anaphylaxis and other health problems



Sunday, August 28, 2011
by [Mike Adams](#), the Health Ranger
Editor of NaturalNews.com ([See all articles...](#))

(NaturalNews) The Institute of Medicine, which has long functioned as a front group for the pharmaceutical industry and receives tens of millions of dollars in annual funding from drug companies and global elitists (like Bill Gates, Ted Turner, etc.), has issued a report that declares the MMR vaccine is not linked to autism. This is now being widely reported in the conventional (controlled) media, which isn't telling you the real story behind this report.

What's the real story? That this IOM report, even though it goes out of its way to excuse vaccines and dismiss safety concerns, still openly admits that **vaccines cause measles, febrile seizures, anaphylactic shock and other potentially fatal side effects**. It also admits that other vaccines are linked to a whole host of bizarre side effects, including skin lesions, difficulty breathing and **live virus infections** (see complete list, below).

Furthermore, the conclusion that "vaccines do not cause autism" is erroneous, as the IOM **did not interview even a single parent of an autistic child** and did not conduct a medical review of any autistic children whatsoever. Instead, the IOM claims to have reviewed thousands of vaccine papers *published in conventional medical journals* -- yes, the very same medical journals that are practically run by pharmaceutical interests and have been caught publishing "scientific" articles that were really fraudulently ghostwritten by drug company hacks.

(http://www.naturalnews.com/029160_medical_journals_ghostwriters.html)

In layman's terms, this is what's known as a "scientific circle jerk" where one group of bought-off scientists quotes another group of bought-off scientists as "authorities" even though they all parrot the same [medical](#) quackery of their masters -- the pharmaceutical companies.

Predictably, the old dinosaur media spins the report into a complete lie

Regardless of the fact that the IOM report found a long list of side effects to be "convincingly" linked to [vaccines](#) (which is remarkable, given the IOM's pro-vaccine slant, as revealed below), the mainstream media went out of its way to twist the story around and declare vaccines are perfectly safe!

Forbes Magazine, which has long been a zombie mouthpiece for pharmaceutical business interests, ran with the headline, "Report Finds Few Side Effects For Vaccines." (Not true. The [report](#) actually found an alarming number of side effects for vaccines.)

The **Sydney Morning Herald**, the pro-pharma quack rag of Australia, declared "Vaccine gets another clean bill of health, but no one is listening." (A total and deliberate lie by a newspaper that maliciously lies to its Australian readers on a regular basis.)

NPR, which no one even expects to honestly report a story anymore, declared, "Report: Vaccines Are Safe, Hazards Few And Far Between." **Voice of America** parroted, "US Study: Vaccines are Safe." (Again, a complete fabrication. Did they even read the IOM's report?)

The trouble, of course, is that all these headlines are really more like *headLIES*. They fail to report what the IoM actually published in its own conclusions, and even that is a distorted version of the truth of how dangerous vaccines really are. But it surprises no one to see conventional media -- the *presstitutes* -- covering up the crimes of the deadly [vaccine](#) industry. They're all in this together, of course. It's the pharma interests that buy a significant portion of the advertising which keeps these rags in business, and if they actually told the truth about the dangers of drugs and vaccines, drug companies would pull their ads and many of these media outlets would simply collapse into financial insolvency (which is actually what they deserve, given that they only serve their corporate masters and contribute nothing to the people of society).

So they keep parroting the lies of Big Pharma and the distortions of the IoM, which as you will soon see, is an entirely untrustworthy organization that has a history of being involved in **illegal medical experiments and crimes against humanity**, including having its members play a role in the **illegal syphilis medical experiments on Guatemalan prisoners**.

A brief history of the Institute of Medicine

The IoM is the same group, you may recall, that recently issued an **utterly false and misleading report on vitamin D**, claiming that people should take no more than **600 IUs** of vitamin D a day. It then went on to warn people against "megadosing" on vitamin D, thereby contributing to the ongoing vitamin D deficiencies that are the main cause of cancer in America today. (http://www.naturalnews.com/030598_vitamin_D_Institute_of_Medicine.htm...)

(In truth, most people need **10,000 IUs of vitamin D a day** just to maintain healthy levels.)

This was all part of the 2010 report called, "*Dietary Reference Intakes for Calcium and Vitamin D*" in which the IoM utterly failed to find any link whatsoever between vitamin D and cancer (huh?), and then reluctantly admitted that vitamin D may have something to do with bone health, but nothing more. This is a classic example of total pseudoscientific quackery being put out to **promote nutritional deficiencies** so that the pharmaceutical industry can rake in more profits. (<http://www.anh-usa.org/action-alert-is-the-institute-of-medicine-in-b...>)

Remember, Big Pharma is a huge financial supporter of the IoM. Full details on the IoM's financial ties will shortly be reported here on NaturalNews, where you will learn that individuals like **Margaret Hamburg**, the head of the criminal FDA, personally gave the IoM more than \$100,000. (So did Bill Gates, Ted Turner and others.)

The IoM is also the same group that declared **Agent Orange was safe for U.S. veterans who fought in Vietnam**. In nearly every report it has issued over the years, the IoM has pushed a pro-

pharma agenda while denying the reality of dangerous chemicals. Yet, somehow, the IoM is widely quoted by the old media (the dinosaur media, the *presstitutes*) as if it were a "scientific" organization reaching "scientific" conclusions, independent of industry influence.

Didn't any of the presstitutes actually check **who funds the IoM?** Of course not. The age of old media journalists actually asking intelligent questions has long faded into history. Now, they simply vomit up whatever disinfo they've been spoon fed by the establishment, and they rely on the gullibility of their fluoridated, mind-numbed readers to buy whatever propaganda they're spewing.

The IoM's role in criminal, government-run human medical experiments

The IoM, by the way, was also directly involved in the **criminal medical experiments conducted on Guatemalan prisoners**, a "dark chapter" of conventional medical history that came to light in late 2010 (http://www.naturalnews.com/029924_medical_experiments_Guatemala.html).

When the truth about these experiments became known (oops! Somebody found out!), President Obama was forced to issue a public apology and organize an investigation. He appointed the [Institute of Medicine](#) -- a "prestigious" organization, if you can believe that -- to lead the investigation.

But the IoM had to *recuse itself* from the investigation, admitting that **some of its own people were the same people who conducted the illegal medical experiments while working for the NIH**. The Guatemalan medical experiments, you see, were a U.S. government funded operation conducted under the NIH, and the IoM has strong financial ties to the government, receiving as much as 64.9% of its funding from government sources (details on that to be published here on NaturalNews shortly).

This was all admitted in a published paper called "U.S. reviews human trial participant protections." (The Lancet, Volume 376, Issue 9757, Pages 1975 - 1976, Dec. 11, 2010).

This paper declares, "In a sign of just how thoroughly enmeshed in medical establishment approval the Guatemala study was, the IoM had to decline the assignment, citing "overlapping appointments" in the 1940s between individuals on an IoM subcommittee and the NIH Study Section on Syphilis. The fact-finding task has now been transferred to the bioethics committee."
([http://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736\(10\)62247-7/fulltext](http://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(10)62247-7/fulltext))

This was also reported in Jerry Leonards **Public Health Alert** (www.PublicHealthAlert.org), in a special article covering the CDC Tuskegee experiments and ongoing **biowarfare research** being conducted covertly by the U.S. government and its various "medical" front groups (NIH, IoM, CDC, NCI, etc.)

Read the full report from Jerry Leonards at:

<http://www.publichealthalert.org/Articles/miscellaneous/tuskegee%202...>

So keep all this in mind about the history of the IoM when you read its paper on vaccines. We're talking about an organization that promotes nutritional deficiencies, that utterly ignores the solid science on disease prevention with nutrition, and that was so deeply involved in the illegal, government-run medical experiments on Guatemalan prisoners that it had to remove itself from the bioethics investigative committee appointed to investigate the matter. The word "ethics" doesn't even belong in the same paragraph as the Institute of Medicine.

The IoM is also largely funded by both military government interests (including funds from the Department of Defense, which we will explain later), pharmaceutical interests (it takes money from all the top Big Pharma companies) and top global elitists like Bill Gates who are openly calling for the use of vaccines to "reduce world population by 10 to 15 percent."

(http://www.naturalnews.com/029911_vaccines_Bill_Gates.html)

Today, this same pharmaceutically prostituted organization that lied to the nation about vitamin D has issued its report called "*Adverse Effects of Vaccines: Evidence and Causality*".

Let's see what this report really says:

What the IOM report on vaccines reveals about deadly vaccines

To begin, you can download and view the entire report yourself at:

<http://naturalnews.com/files/Adverse-Effects-of-Vaccines-Evidence-Cau...>

Here are several important things you need to know about this report -- things that **none of the mainstream pressstitutes will dare tell you:**

#1 - The IOM vaccine report was reviewed by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation as well as a military weapons manufacturer

On page 8 of the report, you will see that it was reviewed by **Chris Wilson** from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, a vaccine front group that openly pushes for global population reduction through vaccines and infertility technologies

(http://www.naturalnews.com/029911_vaccines_Bill_Gates.html).

Secondly, this IOM report was reviewed by **Sam Shekar** from the military defense contractor **Northrop Grumman**, a weapons manufacturer and military technology engineering company that received a \$5 billion grant from the CDC to run a vaccine information technology program (which will likely be used to track vaccine recipients in much the same way that IBM sold its technology to the Nazi regime in World War II to track Jewish prisoners as they were hauled off to concentration camps). (<http://www.ibmandtheholocaust.com/home.php>)

#2 - The IOM conducted NO NEW STUDIES for this report

Instead, it was merely a **compilation** of 12,000 existing vaccines studies published in all the corrupt mainstream medical journals which are **pro-vaccine propaganda rags** that routinely engage in bribery, corruption, fraudulent science and the ghost writing of fraudulent "scientific papers" that were really written by Big Pharma propagandists

(http://www.naturalnews.com/029160_medical_journals_ghostwriters.html).

The British Medical Journal in particular has been **widely discredited** over its fictitious and provably false allegations against Dr. Andrew Wakefield

(http://www.naturalnews.com/031116_Dr_Andrew_Wakefield_British_Medical...).

The IoM, however, took all the medical journals are honest, trustworthy sources! ...even though we know conventional medical journals are the epitome of modern pharmaceutical quackery parading around as science. For the IoM to use medical journals as "sources" for any study is sort of like

police departments hiring convicted felons to be detectives.

All of us know very well that relying on the conventional medical industry's own studies as a source of information about *the dangers* of the conventional medical industry's own products is just flat-out stupid. If you're going to have an honest assessment of the dangers of vaccines, you don't use the for-profit vaccine industry as your source of information! The medical journals, as we all know, are utterly corrupted and controlled by the drug companies that manufacture and sell all these vaccines in the first place. Nearly all conventional medical journals are **packed with drug company ads** that provide the bulk of the funding for their operations.

So it makes no difference that the IoM claims to have reviewed "12,000 studies." Most of those 12,000 studies are still slanted propaganda pieces because they were funded by the vaccine companies and published by what are essentially "vaccine journals" that promote the interests of vaccine companies. The IoM might as well claim it looked at a "gajillion studies!"

If you really want to find out what vaccines cause in children, you need to **go talk to the parents of autistic children** -- something that Dr. Andrew Wakefield did, much to the horror of the medical establishment which then went out of its way to utterly defame and denigrate Dr. Wakefield for daring to suggest that vaccines might produce harmful gastrointestinal effects. (Dr. Wakefield, for the record, has never suggested that vaccines cause autism. That allegation is a total piece of fiction invented by the medical establishment and the old media pressstitutes.)

The IoM, for the record, did not talk to a single child or a single parent as part of this entire review (see below). And there is absolutely no indication that the IoM has any intention whatsoever to ever talk to any parent of an autistic child and gather reliable information from the people directly impacted by this neurological disorder which seems to happen to many children right after being vaccinated.

#3 - The IOM study openly admits it did not consider the "benefits" of vaccines at all

The old media is widely reporting that this IOM report proves vaccines are "safe" and "effective," and yet the IOM openly states that its report didn't assess vaccine benefits at all.

"We were not charged with assessing the benefits of vaccines, with weighing benefits and costs, or with deciding how, when, and to whom vaccines should be administered. The committee was not charged with making vaccine policy," says Ellen Wright Clayton of the Chair Committee to Review Adverse Effects of Vaccines.

The report itself says, "The 2009 H1N1 influenza vaccine is covered by the Countermeasures Injury Compensation Program, and evidence about its safety is not covered in this report." (Yeah, no kidding, because its safety record is so atrocious that opening that can of worms would destroy their whole argument that vaccines have few side effects...)

Influenza vaccines are **the most dangerous vaccines of all**, having been proven to actually promote influenza and weaken immune defenses against future infections (http://www.naturalnews.com/029641_vaccines_junk_science.html). That's why every winter, the vast majority of people who catch the flu are the very same people who were vaccinated against the flu!

(Most flu deaths occur in people who received flu vaccinations. There's another little factoid the old dinosaur media will never -- never! -- put into print.)

#4 - The IoM admits it did not have accurate data

In the introduction to the report, the IoM openly admits it simply doesn't have enough data to accurately assess the totality of vaccine [adverse reactions](#).

"...we learned some lessons that may be of value for future efforts to evaluate vaccine safety. One is that some issues simply cannot be resolved with currently available epidemiologic data..." says the report.

In another bit of twisted logic, the IoM effectively admits that many vaccine side effects are simply blamed on the "natural infection." Read the following statement from the IoM and note how it makes absolutely no sense and yet is somehow trying to shift blame away from vaccines:

"Some adverse events caused by vaccines are also caused by the natural infection. These effects often cannot be detected by epidemiologic methods, which typically cannot distinguish between the adverse events that are caused by the vaccine itself and the decrease in adverse events due to the decreased rate of natural infection."

#5 - The IoM openly admits it threw out all data covering long-term adverse events

All the conclusions of the IoM are based entirely on **short-term** adverse reactions from single vaccines. The IoM's report **in no way considered long-term adverse reactions** or the *cumulative effects* of multiple vaccines compromising the immune system or nervous system.

In fact, the IoM admits in its report that "Case descriptions that did not have the three basic elements described above were not considered in the mechanistic weight-of-evidence assessments." One of those three elements was a "specified and reasonable time interval (i.e., temporality or latency) between vaccination and symptoms." But the IoM failed to define this "temporality," stating that "What constitutes reasonable latency will vary across vaccines and across adverse events."

This means the IoM could simply **throw out any evidence it wanted** by claiming the latency was too long between the vaccine injection and the appearance of side effects. If autism kicks in 15 days after a vaccine injection, for example, the IoM could simply disregard it. If a child suffered neurological damage following a vaccine but the doctor reporting the adverse effects did not note how many hours transpired between the vaccine shot and the onset of neurological symptoms, that data was also thrown out.

This is how modern medical "science" -- which is really just for-profit quackery -- always seems to engineer the study results it wants. It simply throws out all the data that doesn't fit its pre-determined conclusions! Or, in the case of pharma researchers who work for Merck and Pfizer, they just **fabricate whatever data they want** and then get that published in the mainstream medical journals (http://www.naturalnews.com/028194_Scott_Reuben_research_fraud.html).

#6 - The IoM study still admits that vaccines cause infectious disease!

This is the truly amazing part about all this. The old dinosaur media (presstitutes run by globalists) is widely reporting this IoM story as if it declares vaccines to be safe. And yet they haven't even read the report! Because on page 574, this IoM report directly declares that **MMR vaccines cause measles!** It describes the evidence as "convincingly supports" and says the mechanistic assessment is "strong."

The real conclusions of the Institute of Medicine report on vaccine adverse reactions

Here are some of the other conclusions of the IOM's report, which the old dinosaur media outright refuses to tell you because they are all controlled by pharmaceutical interests:

- MMR vaccine is "convincingly" linked to causing **measles**. (Just as we told you here on NaturalNews, the vaccine is what's causing the disease.)
- MMR vaccine is "convincingly" linked to causing **febrile seizure**, just as we also reported here on NaturalNews.
- MMR vaccine is "convincingly" linked to causing **anaphylaxis**, a life-threatening allergic reaction that can result in death within minutes. This is what kills many young children who are injected with MMR vaccines.
- MMR vaccine is likely linked to causing **transient arthralgia in women and children**.
- Varicella vaccine is "convincingly" linked to causing **Disseminated Oka VZV**, a viral disease (Varicella Zoster Virus) which causes **skin lesions** and can also infect the lungs and brain. The fact that this vaccine is causing VZV infections is proof that the vaccines contain **live viruses!**
- Varicella vaccine is "convincingly" linked to causing **Vaccine Strain Viral Reactivation**, meaning the vaccine contains live viruses that are reactivated in the human host, multiplying and causing widespread infections.
- Varicella vaccine is "convincingly" linked to causing **anaphylaxis**, the life-threatening allergic reaction mentioned above.
- The influenza vaccine is "convincingly" linked to causing **anaphylaxis**, which is why influenza vaccines have killed so many children (http://www.naturalnews.com/029586_Australia_vaccines.html).
- The influenza vaccine likely causes **Oculorespiratory Syndrome**, a vaccine reaction described as causing "bilateral conjunctivitis, facial edema, and upper respiratory symptoms." Once again, this is proof that the vaccine itself is *dangerous* to humans.
- The Hepatitis B vaccine is "convincingly" linked to causing **anaphylaxis**.
- The HPV vaccine (for cervical cancer) is also likely linked to causing **anaphylaxis**.
- The TT (Tetanus Toxoid) vaccine is also likely linked to causing **anaphylaxis**.
- The Meningo-Coccal vaccine is "convincingly" linked to causing **anaphylaxis**.
- Vaccine injections (of all kinds) are "convincingly" linked to causing **Deltoid Bursitis** (severe pain and swelling at the injection sight) and **Syncope** (loss of consciousness). These are no doubt caused by the toxic chemical **adjuvants** which are added to vaccines to invoke an immune reaction. These adjuvants are known neurotoxins (http://www.naturalnews.com/026717_vaccine_flu_swine.html).

Not surprisingly, this IoM report completely threw out any links between vaccines and:

- Guillain-Barre Syndrome
- Multiple Sclerosis
- Chronic Inflammatory Disseminated Polyneuropathy
- Asthma Exacerbation
- Autism
- Lupus
- Type-1 diabetes
- Rheumatoid arthritis
- Autoimmune hepatitis
- Chronic Fatigue Syndrome
- Fibromyalgia
- Meningitis
- Myocardial Infarction
- Infantile spasms
- Optic neuritis
- Bell's Palsy
- Arthropathy
- Encephalopathy
- Transverse myelitis
- Sudden Infant Death Syndrome

These were thrown out based on a "lack of sufficient evidence," says the IoM. But remember: It's only sources of evidence were the *corrupt, quacked-out medical journals!* Relying on medical journals to accurately report vaccine side effects is sort of like relying on the Federal Reserve to accurately report what it's doing with all the bailout money it keeps printing. It's all one grand orgy of secrecy and corruption constructed for the sole purpose of pushing a globalist control agenda.

Anyone with even a hint of human intelligence knows that the conventional medical industry is not a good source of information for determining the dangers of the products manufactured and sold by the conventional medical industry! Only a fool -- or a mainstream media journalist -- would even believe such a thing.

When will we have a truly independent review of vaccines?

If you want to seriously investigate the safety of vaccines, you need **an independent review** of the evidence; meaning you need reviewers from *outside the system* to look into all the evidence, including interviewing the parents of autistic children and, of course, comparing the outcomes of vaccinated children versus non-vaccinated children.

That's something the vaccine industry has absolutely refused to do, not surprisingly. Any honest comparison would immediately reveal vaccines to be harmful and even deadly. Plus, they simply don't work. Most outbreaks of infectious disease happen **among those children already vaccinated.**

And that's no coincidence, either. As it turns out, vaccines almost always contain **live viruses that spread disease.** Vaccines, ultimately, are a public health hoax through which infectious disease is introduced to the population so that more people can be made sick, thereby killing some (Bill Gates' population control agenda) while sending the rest to the hospital for treatment (satisfying the

corporate profit agenda).

The IoM, in releasing its conclusions on vaccine adverse events, only cements its position in the history of medicine as an **obvious pharmaceutical co-conspirator** with absolutely zero scientific credibility.

Why vaccine-pushing scientists are criminals who threaten human life

If you believe the IoM, you should stop taking vitamin D and start getting injected with more vaccines. Interestingly, a great many "scientists" and conventional medical pushers quite literally believe that, and **they are dying in droves**. Dead doctors don't lie, they say, and the more these doctors take the very medicine they promote, the more quickly they expire.

That's a good thing, in a way, as is explained in the book *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*. It explains that science only advances *as quickly as the dogmatic defenders of the status quo bite the big one and croak* (or some similar phrase stated a bit more eloquently). It is a fact of reality that the faster today's vaccine-pushing doctors die, the sooner we can get to honest science and truly advanced medicine that respects the human immune system rather than attacking it with toxic chemicals and foreign DNA / RNA invasions -- not to mention the neurotoxic aluminum, thimerosal and polysorbate that's also added to vaccines.

Vaccine-pimping doctors are killing themselves by taking Big Pharma medications and vaccines while avoiding superfoods and nutritional therapies. Thus, they deserve the very fate they are creating for themselves -- one of pain, suffering and a timely death by which the removal of their dishonest intellectual obfuscations from the pool of medical mythology actually benefits human civilization.

Sometimes, truly stated, the most beneficial thing a disinformation "scientist" can do for the future of life on planet earth is to take a very short leap off a very tall building. Gravity and nature will do the rest, which is all the more fitting since it proves that these mad reductionist mind-benders can't escape the laws of nature after all.

As far as the IoM goes, here at NaturalNews, we are about to expose the entire history of this Big Pharma front group, including the funding sources of the IoM and its globalist agenda of destroying human life by promoting sickness and disease. Watch for that in upcoming articles here on NaturalNews.

Articles Related to This Article:

- [Facebook crowdsourced investigation exposes vaccine denials of SIGA Technologies](#)
- [Evidence-based vaccinations: A scientific look at the missing science behind flu season vaccines](#)
- [The Health Ranger interviews neurosurgeon Dr. Russell Blaylock on mandatory vaccine trials, fraudulent vaccine science and vaccine ethics](#)
- [Flu vaccines revealed as the greatest quackery ever pushed in the history of medicine](#)
- [NaturalNews exposes secret vaccine industry ties and military involvement with Institute of Medicine, reveals fatal conflicts of interest at IoM](#)

- [Vaccine philosophical exemptions: A moral and ethical imperative](#)

About the author: Mike Adams is an award-winning journalist and holistic nutritionist with a mission to teach personal and planetary health to the public. He is a prolific writer and has published thousands of articles, interviews, reports and consumer guides, and he is well known as the creator of popular [downloadable preparedness programs](#) on [financial collapse](#), [emergency food storage](#), [wilderness survival](#) and [home defense skills](#). Adams is an independent journalist with strong ethics who does not get paid to write articles about any product or company. In 2010, Adams created TV.NaturalNews.com, a [natural living video sharing site](#) featuring thousands of user videos on foods, fitness, green living and more. He also launched an [online retailer of environmentally-friendly products](#) (BetterLifeGoods.com) and uses a portion of its profits to help fund non-profit endeavors. He's also a veteran of the software technology industry, having founded a [personalized mass email software product](#) used to deliver email newsletters to subscribers. Adams is currently the executive director of the [Consumer Wellness Center](#), a 501(c)3 non-profit, and enjoys outdoor activities, nature photography, Pilates and martial arts training.



What they won't admit about measles outbreaks: Most children who catch measles were already vaccinated

Monday, August 22, 2011 by: PF Louis

(NaturalNews) Big Pharma, the CDC and other public health organizations tell the mainstream media (MSM) what to report about outbreaks and epidemics. So you may have the impression that recent measles outbreaks are occurring because of MMR (measles, mumps, rubella) vaccination refusals.

According to official public health documents kept from public attention, the opposite is true. Many stricken with measles have had the full array of three MMR vaccinations.

Measles and MMR Vaccinations

If you're old enough, you may remember that measles and mumps were common childhood diseases that came and went. The incidence of death or permanent damage was very small. Once you recovered from measles, you were immunized for life.

The rate of measles outbreaks began declining during the 1970s. This may be attributed to increased immunity by those who had measles and recovered, or a decline in the virulence of measles.

But of course, the medical establishment wants you to believe all infectious disease declines are from vaccines. The opposite is usually factual. It has been documented and graphed that measles had diminished greatly before vaccinations were administered. (7)

The three in one MMR shot was developed during that decade for convenience. Prior to that, there was one vaccination for every disease. But the three in one MMR is inoculated on three separate occasions within a few months, usually before 15 months of age. Preteens and teenagers are often subjected to this risky business as well if they missed the early schedule.

Measles Breakouts Among the Vaccinated

There have been many, and the various public health organizations, including the CDC, are well aware of them. Yet, pediatricians convince and school boards coerce parents into making sure their children get three MMR vaccinations in short succession. Obviously, not enough know of [measles](#) breakouts among the vaccinated.

Medical authorities eager to vaccinate maintain it takes a population vaccination rate of 90% to ensure an "immunized" disease will not break out within that community. This high percentage was contrived as necessary for "herd immunity," which would prevent any [outbreak](#) from occurring.

That has been proven false. Basic logic begs the question: Why do so many have to be vaccinated if those who are vaccinated are immune? Could it be that the vaccinated are not really immune. One thing is for certain, vaccinating 90% of all populations creates more revenue.

In 1984, the *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report* (MMRW) of the CDC reported a late 1983 early 1984 Illinois high school/junior high measles outbreak. The total student population was around 400, and **ALL of them (100%) had complied with Illinois State Law requiring the complete [MMR](#) schedule.** (1)

In 1987, *Pub Med Central* reported another public school measles outbreak among a 98% MMR vaccinated population. This happened in another high school, this one in Massachusetts early in 1984. Another population with over 90% vaccinated again. (2)

University of Helsinki Department of Public Health reported an "explosive outbreak" of measles in a rural community during 1989. Most of the infected had been vaccinated with the MMR vaccine. Those vaccinated who became infected anyway also managed to spread the measles to their siblings. So much for herd protection. (4)

The [WHO](#) (World Health Organization) reported an outbreak of measles with thousands of EU citizens of all ages throughout 2010. Most of them occurred in France. Here's what Copenhagen WHO official Rebecca Martin said, "There's been a buildup of children who have not been immunized over the years. It's almost like a threshold. When you have enough people who have not been immunized (sic), then outbreaks can occur." (5)

Apparently Rebecca is not aware of those earlier reports of outbreaks among populations with over 90% vaccinated. Nor is she aware of any graphs that show the enormous decline in measles episodes occurred *before measles vaccinations appeared on the scene*. But she did invoke the 90% rule. (7)

Those who have been scared away from using dangerous vaccinations with horrendous side effects just to prevent a short term mild disease, from which recovery confers real immunity, are blamed.

What Is Scaring Away Vaccination Prospects

Could it have something to do with over six times as many MMR vaccine adverse events reported than cases of measles so far in 2011? Keep in mind that all adverse events are not reported. Most are so indoctrinated with the sanctity of [vaccines](#) they don't make the connection to their child's turning blue and becoming paralyzed or dead after a series of vaccinations. Even former FDA commissioner David Kessler wrote "only one percent of serious adverse events are reported to the FDA." (6)

More and more parents are witnessing tragic consequences of MMRs and other vaccines, which include neurological damage, autism, paralysis, chronic illness, extreme colitis, and death occurring shortly after or during a scheduled series of inoculations. And they are reporting their tragic stories to vaccine safety group sites, blogs, or chat rooms. (7)

Some even start their own vaccination warning sites. Yeah, that might have something to do with more parents holding their children back from being jabbed with toxic vaccines. Especially with a vaccine that doesn't work on a diminished childhood disease that is usually minor. And especially after being lied to about Thimerosal (mercury) being removed from childhood vaccinations. (8)

By the way, there was a very large mumps outbreak among teens and preteens, during early 2010 in the New York/New Jersey area. Almost 80% of them had their MMRs too. (9)

Sources for this article

- (1) <http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/00000359.htm>
- (2) <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1646939/>
- (3) <http://www.npr.org/templates/story/story.php?storyId=5500100>
- (4) <http://www.vaccines.me/articles/jfdlf-explosive-school-based-measles-...>
- (5) <http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2011/04/21/europe-measles-outbreak-fran...>
- (6) <http://therefusers.com/refusers-newsroom/6-times-more-measles-vaccine...>
- (7) <http://www.thinktwice.com/mmr.htm>
- (8) <http://healthmaven.blogspot.com/2011/08/united-nations-invites-mark-g...>
- (9) http://www.naturalnews.com/028142_mumps_vaccines.html

Articles Related to This Article:

- [Immunization Programs Under Scrutiny \(Part 1\)](#)
- [Vitamin supplements could save children from measles and diarrhea deaths](#)
- [Unvaccinated kids banned from Indiana school due to measles 'outbreak'](#)
- [The Measles Lie, and the ongoing ad campaign disguised as news](#)
- [Hidden government papers expose lies about measles vaccines for infants](#)
- [Vaccine philosophical exemptions: A moral and ethical imperative](#)



NaturalNews.com
Real News Powered by the People, Naturally.



Murdoch's media malpractice and the genetic altering of human beings through DNA vaccines

Wednesday, July 20, 2011 by: Ethan A. Huff, staff writer

(NaturalNews) Rupert Murdoch's media empire News Corp., which represents the second largest media conglomerate in the world behind the Walt Disney Company, is taking a severe beating as Murdoch himself is having to address various criminal allegations, including that his *News of the World* tabloid illegally hacked private phone lines and committed various other crimes (http://www.naturalnews.com/033034_News_of_the_World_scandal.html).

But Murdoch's media malpractice runs even deeper as his strong connections to the pharmaceutical industry also fueled his media machine's fabrication of lies against Dr. Andrew Wakefield, as well as hid from the public the true dangers of DNA vaccines that permanently corrupt human genes and cause autism.

Murdoch has built quite a reputation for himself as a scoundrel of sorts, as many Americans who identify with the "left" side of the political spectrum have accused him of pandering to the "right" by skewing the news to appeal to "conservatives" (Murdoch owns FOX News, after all).

But what Murdoch's organization is actually doing on all fronts with its various media outlets, including FOX, is pushing much bigger agendas that supersede any alleged "right vs. left" paradigm. One such agenda is News Corp.'s routine censorship of the dangerous truth about drugs and vaccines, which include smear campaigns like those levied against Dr. Wakefield who conduct legitimate research that contradicts mainstream medical thought.

News Corp. systematically destroyed the reputation of Dr. Wakefield, lied about his work

If you are unfamiliar with the Dr. Wakefield story, you can read more about it in previous *NaturalNews* articles (http://www.naturalnews.com/Andrew_Wakefield.html). But as a quick recap, Dr. Wakefield basically discovered through credible research that the combination measles, mumps, and rubella (MMR) [vaccine](#) was linked to mental and physiological health problems, and that the individual measles vaccine should be given to children instead until further research on the safety of MMR could be conducted.

The findings were credible, responsibly-derived, and honest in their assessment -- but they resulted in a tirade of lies and slander against Dr. Wakefield.

The statements included false accusations that he is opposed to all vaccinations, that he had manipulated his data, and that he is basically unfit to be a doctor, despite the fact that he is arguably one of the most well-respected and highly-educated gastroenterologists in the world. In the end, though, Dr. Wakefield ended up having his study pulled from the esteemed UK journal *Lancet*, and his UK medical license was revoked.

And just who was responsible for the annihilation campaign against Dr. Wakefield? None other than Rupert Murdoch's News Corp., which literally fabricated lies about Dr. Wakefield and disseminated them around the world via its multinational media network. News Corp.'s *London Times*, for instance, falsely accused Dr. Wakefield of being "callous, unethical and dishonest," and published numerous articles saying he was a fraud, and that he "abused his position of trust."

And why, exactly, did News Corp. feel the need to destroy the life and reputation of a man that had done so much to help children with autism and other neurological disorders?

Because Dr. Wakefield's findings were incongruent with the multi-billion-dollar profit ring of multinational pharmaceutical companies like GlaxoSmithKline (GSK) and Merck Inc., both of which produce and market MMR vaccines.

Murdoch media empire, judicial system closely knit with drug companies

Did you know that Rupert Murdoch's son James Murdoch, who manages the UK paper *Sunday Times*, is on the board of GSK? Or how about Sir Nigel Davis, the High Court judge that denied parents of children treated by Dr. Wakefield the right to have their claims against vaccine manufacturers heard in a real court? Davis' brother, who is an executive board member of Elsevier, the group that publishes the *Lancet*, is also on the board of GSK.

An article in the *COTO Report* also explains that the head of the popular Reuters [news](#) service serves on the board of Merck, while a prominent writer at the UK's *Daily Mirror* is married to the former chairman of GSK. And the list goes on and on.

With all of these strong connections to drug companies, it is no wonder that the media at large wholly participated in the Dr. Wakefield slander campaign -- after all, Dr. Wakefield's work caused

millions of people to wake up and begin questioning the safety not only of the MMR vaccine, but also of vaccines in general. And this continued awakening is taking its toll on Big Pharma's profits.

MMR and dozens of other DNA' vaccines essentially create genetically-modified humans

Dr. Wakefield's work uncovered a crucial detail about certain vaccines that ultimately exposes those in this particular category as highly-dangerous, life-altering poisons. Third-generation [DNA](#) vaccines like MMR contain genetically-engineered (GE) materials that are injected directly into the body, sort of like how genetically-modified (GM) crop seeds have been injected with altered DNA that changes their genetic makeup -- and these GE traits can permanently alter proper human development.

As far as DNA vaccines are concerned, the GE material they contain is included as part of an overall effort to induce "DNA uptake," a term that is very vaguely defined, but one that appears to infer a literal adoption of altered DNA into the human genetic structure. If this is the case, then DNA vaccines like MMR are overriding normal DNA with altered DNA, which causes the untold changes in human development and health that have been observed.

Based on Dr. Wakefield's findings, this is exactly what appears to occur with MMR vaccines in particular, and it is why he urged the public to skip the MMR vaccine and get the individual vaccines instead. His findings showed that the MMR vaccine is linked to mitochondria dysfunction caused by DNA mutations. And since no proper review of MMR has ever taken place to prove its safety, his professional conclusion was that it was best to stop using it for children's safety.

Mitochondria, of course, are what power cells and convert energy into forms that are usable by the body. When these do not work properly, the entire human body becomes compromised. Individuals with autism demonstrate mitochondria dysfunction as well as various other problems, which may or may not be possible to cure -- and this, again, is precisely why Dr. Wakefield urged the public to beware of the MMR vaccine.

According to the same *COTO Report* article mentioned earlier, DNA vaccines like MMR were actually derived from failed gene therapy experiments. In other words, they appear to be a type of genetic experiment that is permanently altering human gene expression and proper DNA development, which in turn lands its victims with permanent, life-altering developmental disorders like autism.

But none of this will ever be addressed by the likes of Rupert Murdoch's News Corp., or by most other mainstream news outlets for that matter, because of their close alliance with the drug industry. It is in their best interests to hide the truth from the public, and to continue pushing the lie that all vaccines are safe, and have never been implicated in causing any long-term health problems.

CBS News, however, did recently report on a new review published in the *Journal of Immunotoxicology* that addresses the issue of third-generation DNA vaccines and autism. That review, entitled *Theoretical aspects of autism: Causes -- A review*, admits that "[d]ocumented causes of autism include genetic mutations and/or deletions, viral infections, and encephalitis [brain damage] following vaccination."

Sources for this story include:

<http://coto2.wordpress.com/2011/07/17/murdoch-and-vaccines-exposure-o...>

Articles Related to This Article:

- [Facebook crowdsourced investigation exposes vaccine denials of SIGA Technologies](#)
- [Evidence-based vaccinations: A scientific look at the missing science behind flu season vaccines](#)
- [The Health Ranger interviews neurosurgeon Dr. Russell Blaylock on mandatory vaccine trials, fraudulent vaccine science and vaccine ethics](#)
- [Institute of Medicine adverse reactions report admits MMR vaccines cause measles, seizures, anaphylaxis and other health problems](#)
- [Flu vaccines revealed as the greatest quackery ever pushed in the history of medicine](#)
- [NaturalNews exposes secret vaccine industry ties and military involvement with Institute of Medicine, reveals fatal conflicts of interest at IoM](#)