

EXPOSING THE POWER OF CORPORATE LOBBYING IN THE EU Home Articles & News Reports Blogs Press releases Open letters About Contact us

Study on Monsanto's GM maize intensifies concerns about EFSA's reliability – Monsanto strikes back with PR offensive

SEPTEMBER 21, 2012 – 10:20 AGRIBUSINESS EFSA



Monsanto launched a PR offensive to try to spread doubt about a study published two days ago by French scientist Gilles-Éric Séralini: the study showed that the biotech giant's flagship herbicide, Roundup, and one the GM maizes produced by the firm, NK603, are having disastrous effects on rats' health when those are studied during their lifetime and not over the industry-favoured 90 days.

In an email seen by CEO (see below1), a Monsanto executive sent around the <u>list of reactions</u> compiled by a so-called "independent" science news resource, the London-based "Science Media Centre". Under the objective-sounding title "Expert reaction to GM maize causing tumours in rats", the Centre lists eight reactions by scientists about the study as well as 10 unattributed arguments on the same issue. Unsurprisingly, all the reactions dismiss the study. According to <u>Spinwatch</u>, 70% of the Centre's funding comes from corporations, and <u>their list of funders</u> includes most of the big names in the biotech industry. This misinformation seems to have worked: the three critical quotes <u>mentioned</u> by the newswire Reuters

about the study (Tom Sanders, Mark Tester, David Spiegelhalter) were all circulated by the Centre. The biotechnology lobby group Europabio also issued a <u>press release</u> quoting these same experts and listing all the arguments it could find to try to discredit the research. While this is probably just the beginning of the biotech industry's attempts to undermine the credibility of the new study, EU institutions and EFSA will not be able to continue with business as usual.

French scientist Gilles-Eric Séralini indeed hit the headlines yesterday with his <u>groundbreaking paper</u> on rats being fed with Monsanto's GM Roundup-ready maize <u>NK603</u>, published in the authoritative scientific journal Food and Chemical Toxicology. "*The longest and most detailed study ever carried out on a herbicide and a GMO*", in Séralini's own words yesterday at a press conference in the European Parliament, looked at the impact on rats' health of both the GMO and Roundup, the herbicide it is made to resist. Roundup is the world's most sold herbicide.

The results of the study are stunning. Not only did the rats tested with Roundup die earlier than their control group, developing severe tumours and kidney and liver pathologies, but the rats only fed with the GM maize also developed tumours.

This new development in the decade-long controversy over the safety of GMOs highlights the importance of reliable expertise for public decision-making, and the key role played by regulatory agencies. It is striking that Séralini's study's initial funding <u>came from CERES</u>, an association funded by major French supermarkets; being wary of both official studies and the possibility of a new major mad cow-type public health scandal, they decided to provide enough resources to produce a reliable risk assessment. CEO's <u>publications on the European Food Safety Authority</u> (EFSA) over the past year have shown how the biotech and pesticide industry had been able to influence the so-called "independent voice of science" at the EU level. Many experts on key panels had conflicts of interest, which resulted in flawed guidelines for risk assessment.

One example? The very GM maize used in Professor Séralini's paper was given the <u>green light</u> by EFSA for feed and food use in Europe in 2004, and for cultivation in the EU in 2009. EFSA based its assessment on a narrow range of studies that tested rats for a short period of only 90 days. Séralini points out that in his new research most of the tumors among the rats he studied only appeared after the first year. CEO has <u>shown</u> that more than half of the GMO panel experts who signed the approval for this GM maize had links with industry resulting in conflicts of interest, as defined by the OECD. Séralini in his press conference yesterday attacked the "*competence and even the honesty*" of the EFSA experts at stake.

In particular the GMO panel chair, Harry Kuiper, clearly used his position to influence the work of the panel in a proindustry way. The role played by Kuiper for 10 years is now under scrutiny of the European Ombudsman, following a complaint by the organisation Testbiotech. EFSA's policy on conflicts of interests has slightly improved since new panels were installed last July, but far from enough: CEO, Earth Open Source, Testbiotech and other NGOs have shown that several EFSA panel members <u>still have conflict of interests</u> with industry.

EFSA's <u>guidelines</u> for GMO risk assessment are now about to be incorporated in new EU rules on GMOs. These guidelines actually further weaken the existing rules, not only saying that long-term trials are not needed but that 90-days trials, and even feeding trials themselves, may be scrapped entirely! The Commission's head of unit at DG Health and Consumers, Mrs. Dorothée André, tried some damage control yesterday saying that the Commission would propose mandatory 90-days trials to Member States within the framework of these guidelines' revision process, on which the European Parliament has no say.

----- Message transféré De : "COSTA, JAIME (AG/5158)" <jaime.costa@MONSANTO.COM> Répondre à : Date : Thu, 20 Sep 2012 A : • Objet : A cuenta de las Investigaciones Independientes sobre transgénicos

En las páginas:

http://www.sciencemediacentre.org/pages/press_releases/12-09-19_gm_maize_rats_tumours.htm

puede leerse la opinión de unos cuantos científicos independientes sobre esta nueva publicación, que aún no ha sido revisada por la Autoridad Europea de Seguridad Alimentaria (EFSA).

Saludos

Jaime Costa.

This e-mail message may contain privileged and/or confidential information, and is intended to be received only by persons entitled to receive such information. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately. Please delete it and all attachments from any servers, hard drives or any other media. Other use of this e-mail by you is strictly prohibited

All e-mails and attachments sent and received are subject to monitoring, reading and archival by Monsanto, including its subsidiaries. The recipient of this e-mail is solely responsible for checking for the presence of "Viruses" or other "Malware" Monsanto, along with its subsidiaries, accepts no hability for any damage caused by any such code transmitted by or accompanying this e-mail or any attachment.

The information contained in this email may be subject to the export control laws and regulations of the United States, potentially including but not limited to the Export Administration Regulations (EAR) and sanctions regulations issued by the U.S. Department of Treasury, Office of Foreign Asset Controls (OFAC). As a recipient of this information you are obligated to comply with all applicable U.S. export laws and regulations

<u>1.</u> Translation from Spanish: "On this page [link] you can read the opinion of a few independent scientists on this new publication, which has not yet been reviewed by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA)." Some details were removed in order to protect the source.

Attached files: interstation and the second se

MEDIA COVERAGE

Polémique sur la toxicité des OGM: ces conflits d'intérêts qui nuisent à la science L'offensive de Monsanto pour décrédibiliser l'étude sur les OGM

SIMILAR ENTRIES

<u>NGOs demand dismissal of two EFSA experts who failed to declare food industry links</u>

New research has today revealed further conflicts of interest among experts advising the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) about the safety of food additives, including aspartame [1]. Research by Corporate Europe Observatory (CEO) and Réseau Environnement Santé/French Network on Health and Environment (RES) found that two of the new experts appointed by EFSA to the panel responsible for looking at food additives have failed to declare consulting activities for the food industry-funded think tank and lobby group International Life Sciences Institute (ILSI)

Continue reading

<u>Independence of EFSA's GMO risk assessment challenged</u>

Food safety panel members "update" declarations of interest – admitting industry ties

<u>Séralini vs Fellous: a GMO libel case</u>

EFSA Chair Moves to Food Industry Lobby Group next> last>>

123456789...

Rio+20 Climate and Energy EU economic governance **Global Europe** Lobbycracy **Revolving Doors**

<u>Agribusiness</u> <u>EFSA</u>

Study on Monsanto's GM maize intensifies concerns about EFSA's reliability – Monsanto strikes back with PR offensive Evaluation report on EFSA gives the wrong message Letter from EOS and CEO: Continued ILSI activities of EFSA panel members Conflicts of interest still evident on new ESFA expert panels EFSA nutrition panel on France 2: No link between sugar and obesity?

More on Agribusiness, More on EFSA



RECENT BLOGS

Official complaint made re. inaccuracies in Transparency Register

<u>Not about safer banks</u>

After the first round of the expert groups battle

What was discussed during Commissioner Rehn's meetings with Goldman Sachs? Euro Commissioner has a transparency problem

<u>Call for Internship</u>

LATEST TWEETS

Proposed <u>#EU</u> banking union will not help reign in irresponsible banking sector; supervision will be based on lax rules <u>http://t.co/hiiXa8os</u>

We have submitted complaints about law firms Reed Smith & Linklaters who fail to disclose clients in <u>#EU</u> lobby register <u>http://t.co/NjBdT8Sx</u>

We've submitted four new complaints to secretariat of <u>#EU</u> Transparency Register incl one about biotech lobby Europabio <u>http://t.co/NjBdT8Sx</u>

EU <u>#lobby</u> register still contains flawed date and incomplete entries <u>@PSEurope_News</u> <u>http://t.co/ic1oaaIw</u>

Could <u>#EFSA</u> advice on GM be used to make decision on <u>#GM</u> maize (article inFrench) <u>http://t.co/YZCXrgrv</u>

Follow us on Twitter



Water and Sanitation are a human right! Water is a public good, not a commodity

SUPPORT CORPORATE EUROPE OBSERVATORY!

CEO relies on grants and donations to carry out our research and campaign work. By <u>making a donation</u> or becoming a <u>friend of CEO</u> you help to support our efforts to expose corporate lobbying, increase transparency and urge greater democratic accountability in the EU.



Read the press release Conference essay series Speakers biographies and presentations Post-conference blogs Videos



facebook.